Are there any efficiency benefits to using the KEEP= dataset option?

One of the largest 'costs' in processing any code is the I/O (Input / Output), the physical transfer of data from and to disk storage. Significant savings can be made to I/O, and therefore to processing time, by reducing the amount of data being transferred.

The following macro program can be used to generate a dataset with the variables A1-A20 through to Z1-Z20 and 1,024,000 observations.

```
%macro makebig ;
 %local i j k m ;
 data largeds ;
 %do i = 1 %to 1000 ;
   do j = 1 to 20 ;
   %do k = 65 %to 90 ;
      %sysfunc(byte(&k))&j = %sysfunc(rannorm(0));
%end;
%end;
output ;
 %end;
 run ;
  %do m = 1 %to 10 ;
   proc append base = largeds
         data = largeds
   run ;
  %end;
%mend makebig ;
%makebig
```

Efficiencies in DATA Step

Processing all of the variables and all of the variables in a DATA step:

```
data lds2 ;
  set largeds ;
run ;
```

generates the following processing times in the LOG:

```
NOTE: There were 1024000 observations read from the data set WORK.LARG EDS. NOTE: The data set WORK.LDS2 has 1024000 observations and 520 variable Page 1/5
```

s.

```
NOTE: DATA statement used (Total process time): real time 24.40 seconds cpu time 11.57 seconds
```

Adding the KEEP= dataset option to the DATA Statement restricts the Output to select only the variables A1-A20 in the output dataset:

For a range of variables with a common prefix the colon modifier can also be used (keep = a:) to select all variables which 'begin with' A.

Using the KEEP= dataset option on the SET statement restricts the variables being processed at Input (and thereore also on Output), generating a more efficient process, as can be seen in the LOG:

2.13 seconds

Efficiencies in PROC SQL

The same principles can also be applied in the SQL Procedure. Selecting all of the rows and columns using the * operator and no WHERE clause:

```
proc sql noprint ;
  create table lds2 as
  select *
  from largeds
  ;
quit ;
```

generates the following in the LOG:

```
NOTE: Table WORK.LDS2 created, with 1024000 rows and 520 columns.

NOTE: PROCEDURE SQL used (Total process time):
real time 19.67 seconds
cpu time 10.46 seconds
```

Attempting to restrict columns in the output dataset using the SELECT clause allows only for the * wildcard, or an explicit list of variables; it is not possible to use the range expression select a1-a20 as this is seen simply as a subtraction, and the colon modifier select a: is not supported on the SELECT clause.

It is however possible to generate a macro variable containing the desired variables in a comma separated list:

```
proc sql noprint ;
  select name into :a_list separated by ', '
  from dictionary.columns
  where libname = 'WORK'
     and memname = 'LARGEDS'
     and name like 'A%'
    ;
  quit ;
```

and resolving this in the desired PROC SQL step:

However this still only restricts the Output. To generate maximum efficiency the restriction should be applied to the Input. This can be acheived by using the KEEP= option on a dataset listed on the FROM clause.

It will be noted that the a: syntax 'is' supported in this context, removing the need to generate the macro variable list of variable names.

NOTE: These run-times are based on a single instance - to get a true measure of the savings

the exercise should be run multiple times and an average obtained.

Unique solution ID: #1021 Author: Alan D Rudland

Last update: 2017-04-06 12:50